

SOME OPERATOR IDEALS IN NON-COMMUTATIVE FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

FRANCESCO FIDALEO

ABSTRACT. We characterize classes of linear maps between operator spaces E, F which factorize through maps arising in a natural manner via the Pisier vector-valued non-commutative L^p spaces $S_p[E^*]$ based on the Schatten classes on the separable Hilbert space l^2 . These classes of maps can be viewed as quasi-normed operator ideals in the category of operator spaces, that is in non-commutative (quantized) functional analysis. The case $p = 2$ provides a Banach operator ideal and allows us to characterize the split property for inclusions of W^* -algebras by the 2-factorable maps. The various characterizations of the split property have interesting applications in Quantum Field Theory.

Mathematics Subject Classification Numbers: Primary 47D15, 47D25, Secondary 46L35.

Key words: Linear spaces of operators, Operator algebras and ideals on Hilbert spaces; Classifications, Factors.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of classes of operator ideals in Hilbert and Banach space theories has a long history. First some interesting classes of maps between classical function spaces were considered and therefore many classes of operators were so intensively studied. Due to the wideness of the subject we refer the reader to the monographies [23, 24, 26, 34, 35] and to the references quoted therein. Many of these classes of maps can be considered as operator ideals in the category of Banach spaces as is well exposed in [35] where a wide class of operator ideals is treated from an axiomatic as well as concrete viewpoint. On the other hand, if a Banach space E is equipped with a sequence of compatible norms on all the matrices $\mathbb{M}_n(E)$ with entries in E , it can be viewed as a subspace of a C^* -algebra that is a (concrete) operator space [41]. In this context the natural arrows between operator spaces are the completely bounded linear maps. These ideas can be interpreted as a non-commutative (quantized) version the functional analysis as is well explained in several papers, see e.g. [2, 13, 14, 20, 33, 41, 45]. Also in the operator spaces context, interesting classes of completely bounded linear maps

have been introduced and studied, see [17, 18, 19, 21, 37, 38, 40]. These classes of maps can be naturally considered as operator ideals between operator spaces i.e. in non-commutative functional analysis. Namely one should replace the bounded maps, i.e. the natural arrows between Banach spaces, in the definition of an operator ideal given in [35], with the completely bounded maps which are the natural arrows in the category of operator spaces.

In this paper we characterize, for each $1 \leq p < +\infty$, classes $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ of linear maps between operator spaces E, F which factorize through maps arising in a natural manner via the Pisier vector-valued non-commutative L^p spaces $S_p[E^*]$ based on the Schatten classes on the separable Hilbert space l^2 . We call these maps the (operator) *p-factorable* maps and omit here the word “operator” as in the following there is no matter of confusion with the factorable operators of Banach space context [30, 35] (see the end of Section 6 below for some comments about this point).

The classes of *p*-factorable maps \mathfrak{F}_p are quasi-normed (complete) operator ideals in the operator space setting. Moreover, there is a geometrical description for the image of the unit ball $T(E_1) \subset F$ under a *p*-factorable injective map, then, under certain conditions on the image space F . Such a description of the shape of $T(E_1)$ is analogous to those arising from the Banach space context, see [5]; or from the operator space context, see [21]. The case with $p = 2$ is particular: \mathfrak{F}_2 is a Banach operator ideal; furthermore one can give the geometrical description for the image of the unit ball $T(E_1) \subset F$, $T \in \mathfrak{F}_2$ injective, without adding any other condition on the image space F . Finally, as an application, we outline a characterization of the split property for certain inclusion of W^* -algebras ([5, 11, 12, 21]) and, in particular, for inclusions of von Neumann algebras of local observables arising from Quantum Field Theory as described in [6, 7, 8, 43].

This paper is organized as follows.

After some preliminaries about the operator spaces, we discuss some interesting result relative to non-commutative vector-valued L^p spaces recently introduced by Pisier in [38, 40] which are of main interest here. Successively we define, for each $1 \leq p < +\infty$, a class of linear maps $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ between the operator spaces E, F which are limits of finite rank operators, hence compact ones. We call such classes of maps the ideals of *p-factorable* maps. The spaces $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F) \subset \mathfrak{K}(E, F)$ are in a natural way operator ideals between operator spaces. If $p = 2$ we obtain a Banach operator ideal. A further section is devoted to provide a

geometrical description of $T(E_1) \subset F$ where $T \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ is an injective operator. The description of the shape of $T(E_1)$ can be made for a general p under certain conditions on the image space F (i.e. if F is an injective C^* -algebra) or without adding any other condition on F if $p = 2$.

As an application, we conclude with a section where we outline a characterization of the split property for inclusion $N \subset M$ of W^* -factors in terms of 2-factorable maps which is also applicable to inclusions of von Neumann algebras (which have a-priori a non-trivial center) of local observables arising in Quantum Field Theory. The detailed exposition of the complete characterization of the split property in terms of properties of the canonical non-commutative L^2 embedding $N \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} L^2(M)$ will be given in a separated paper [22].

2. ON OPERATOR SPACES

For the reader's convenience we collect some preliminary results about the operator spaces of which we need in the following. Details and proofs can be found in the sequel.

In this paper all the operator spaces are complete as normed spaces if it is not otherwise specified.

2.1. Operator spaces. For an arbitrary normed space X , X_1 denotes its (closed) unit ball. We consider a normed space E together with a sequence of norms $\| \cdot \|_n$ on $\mathbb{M}_n(E)$, the space of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in E . For $a, b \in \mathbb{M}_n$ these norms satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|avb\|_n &\leq \|a\|\|v\|_n\|b\|, \\ \|v_1 \oplus v_2\|_{n+m} &= \max\{\|v_1\|_n, \|v_2\|_m\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where the above products are the usual row-column ones. This space with the above norms is called an (abstract) operator space.

If $T : E \rightarrow F$, $T_n : \mathbb{M}_n(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(F)$ are given by $T_n := T \otimes \text{id}$. T is said to be *completely bounded* if $\sup \|T_n\| := \|T\|_{cb} < +\infty$; $\mathfrak{M}(E, F)$ denotes the set of all the completely bounded maps between E, F . Complete contractions, complete isometries and complete quotient maps have an obvious meaning. It is an important fact (see [41]) that a linear space E with norms on each $\mathbb{M}_n(E)$ has a realization as a concrete operator space i.e. a subspace of a C^* -algebra, if and only if these norms satisfy the properties in (2.1). We note that, if $\dim(E) > 1$ then there would be a lot of non-isomorphic operator space structures on E , see e.g. [33].

Given an operator space E and $f = \mathbb{M}_n(E^*)$, the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_n &:= \sup\{\|(f(v))_{(i,k)(j,l)}\| : v \in \mathbb{M}_m(E)_1, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ (f(v))_{(i,k)(j,l)} &:= f_{ij}(v_{kl}) \in \mathbb{M}_{mn} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

determines an operator space structure on E^* that becomes itself an operator space which has been called in [2] the *standard dual* of E .

We now consider the linear space $\mathbb{M}_I(E)$ for any index I as the $I \times I$ matrices with entries in E such that

$$\|v\|_{\mathbb{M}_I(E)} := \sup_{\Delta} \|v^{\Delta}\| < +\infty$$

where Δ denotes any finite subset of I . For each index set I , $\mathbb{M}_I(E)$ is in a natural way an operator space via the inclusion $\mathbb{M}_I(E) \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \ell^2(I))$ if E is realized as a subspace of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Of interest is also the definition of $\mathbb{K}_I(E)$ as those elements $v \in \mathbb{M}_I(E)$ such that $v = \lim_{\Delta} v^{\Delta}$.

Obviously $\mathbb{M}_I(\mathbb{C}) \equiv \mathbb{M}_I = \mathfrak{B}(\ell^2(I))$ and $\mathbb{K}_I(\mathbb{C}) \equiv \mathbb{K}_I = \mathfrak{K}(\ell^2(I))$, the set of all the compact operators on $\ell^2(I)$. For E complete we remark the bimodule property of $\mathbb{M}_I(E)$ over \mathbb{K}_I because, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_I$, $\alpha^{\Delta}v$, $v\alpha^{\Delta}$ are Cauchy nets in $\mathbb{M}_I(E)$ whose limits define unique elements αv , $v\alpha$ that can be calculated via the usual row-column product.

Given an index set I we can define as usual a map $\mathcal{X} : \mathbb{M}(E^*) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(E, \mathbb{M}_I)$ which is a complete isometry, given by

$$(\mathcal{X}(f)(v))_{ij} := f_{ij}(v). \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, if $f \in \mathbb{K}(E^*)$, $\mathcal{X}(f)$ is norm limit of finite rank maps, then $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}_I(E^*)) \subset \mathfrak{K}(E, \mathbb{K}_I)$ so $\mathcal{X}(f)(v) \in \mathbb{K}_I$ for each $v \in E$, see [16], pag 172.

Remarkable operator space structures on a Hilbert space H have been introduced and studied in [17] where natural identifications between Banach spaces have been considered. The following identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_{p,q}(H_c) &:= \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{C}^q, H^p), \\ \mathbb{M}_{p,q}(H_r) &:= \mathfrak{B}(\overline{H}^q, \mathbb{C}^p) \end{aligned}$$

define on H the column and row structures respectively.

Recently the theory of complex interpolation ([1]) has been developped by Pisier in the context of operator spaces as well. An operator space structure on Hilbert spaces has been so introduced and studied,

that is the Pisier OH structure, see [36, 39]. It can be viewed as an interpolating structure between H_c , H_r :

$$OH(I) := (H_c, H_r)_{1/2}$$

where the cardinality of the index set I is equal to the (Hilbert) dimension of H . The characterization of the OH structure, contained in [39], Theorem 1.1, is described as follows. Let $OH \equiv OH(I)$ be a Hilbert space equipped with the OH structure for a fixed index set I and $x \in \mathbb{M}_n(OH)$. Then

$$\|x\|_{\mathbb{M}_n(OH)} = \|(x_{ij}, x_{kl})\|_{\mathbb{M}_{n^2}}^{1/2}$$

where the enumeration of the entries of the numerical matrix on the l.h.s. is as that in (2.2).

2.2. Tensor products between operator spaces. Let E , F be operator spaces, and $E \otimes F$ denotes its algebraic tensor product. One can consider on $\mathbb{M}_n(E \otimes F)$ several norms as above. Let $u \in \mathbb{M}_n(E \otimes F)$; the norm $\|u\|_{\wedge}$ is defined as

$$\|u\|_{\wedge} := \inf\{\|\alpha\| \|v\| \|w\| \|\beta\|\}$$

where the infimum is taken on all the decompositions

$$u = \sum \alpha_{ik} v_{ij} \otimes w_{kl} \beta_{jl}$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{M}_{n,pq}$, $v \in \mathbb{M}_p(E)$, $w \in \mathbb{M}_q(F)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{M}_{pq,n}$; $\|u\|_{\vee}$ is the norm determined by the inclusion $E \otimes F \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ if $E \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $F \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{K})$ (the last characterization does not depend on the specific realization of E , F as concrete operator spaces). The completions of these tensor products are denoted respectively by $E \otimes_{\max} F$, $E \otimes_{\min} F$ and are referred as *projective* and *spatial* tensor product respectively, these tensors are themselves operator spaces (see [16]). Also of interest is the following complete identification $\mathbb{K}_I(E) = E \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{K}_I$ for each operator space E .

The projective tensor product allows one to describe the predual of a W^* -tensor product in terms of the preduals of its individual factors. Namely, let N , M be W^* -algebras, then the predual $(N \overline{\otimes} M)_*$ is completely isomorphic to the projective tensor product $N_* \otimes_{\max} M_*$. The detailed proof of the above results can be found in [16] Section 3.

The Haagerup tensor product $E \otimes_h F$ between the operator spaces E , F , are also of interest here. It is defined as the completion of

the algebraic tensor product $E \otimes F$ under the following norm for $u \in \mathbb{M}_n(E \otimes F)$:

$$\|u\|_h := \inf\{\|v\|\|w\|\}$$

where the infimum is taken on all the decompositions

$$u_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^p v_{ik} \otimes w_{kj}$$

with $v \in \mathbb{M}_{np}(E)$, $w \in \mathbb{M}_{pn}(F)$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.3. The metrically nuclear maps. The class of the metrically nuclear maps $\mathfrak{D}(E, F)$ between operator spaces E, F , has been introduced and studied in [18]. They are defined as

$$\mathfrak{D}(E, F) := E^* \otimes_{\max} F / \text{Ker } \mathcal{X}$$

where \mathcal{X} is the map (2.3) which is in this case a complete quotient map. The metrically nuclear norm is just the quotient one, see [21], Theorem 2.3. Another (more concrete) description of the metrically nuclear operator has been given in [21] at the same time and independently where also a geometrical characterization (Definition 2.6) has been made. All the spaces $\mathfrak{D}(E, F)$ are themselves operator spaces which are complete if the range space F is complete. Moreover the metrically nuclear maps satisfy the ideal property, see [21] Proposition 2.4.

3. THE NON-COMMUTATIVE VECTOR-VALUED L^p SPACES

Through the interpolation technique relative to the operator spaces, the vector-valued non-commutative L^p spaces were introduced and intensively studied by Pisier [38, 40]. In this Section we summarize some of the properties of the Pisier non-commutative L^p spaces.

We consider together an operator space E , the compatible couple of operator spaces

$$(S_\infty(H) \otimes_{\min} E, S_1(H) \otimes_{\max} E)$$

where $S_1(H)$, $S_\infty(H)$ are the trace class and the class of all the compact operators acting on the Hilbert space H . Then the vector-valued non-commutative L^p spaces $S_p[H, E]$ can be defined as the interpolating spaces relative to the above compatible couple:

$$S_p[H, E] := (S_\infty(H) \otimes_{\min} E, S_1(H) \otimes_{\max} E)_\theta \quad (3.1)$$

where $\theta = 1/p$. If $F \subset \mathfrak{B}(L)$ is another operator space and $a, b \in S_{2p}(L)$, we consider the linear map $M_{a,b}$ between $\mathfrak{B}(L)$, $S_p(L)$ defined as $M_{a,b}x := axb$ and the map $\widetilde{M}_{a,b}$ between $S_p[H, E] \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{B}(L)$,

$S_p[H, E] \otimes_{\min} S_p(L)$ associated to $I \otimes M_{a,b}$. Then the following theorem allows us to compute the norms on all $\mathbb{M}_n(S_p[H, E])$.

Theorem 1. ([38], *Théorème 2*) *Let $1 \leq p < +\infty$.*

(i) *For $u \in S_p[H, E]$ one gets*

$$\|u\|_{S_p[H, E]} = \inf\{\|a\|_{S_{2p}(H)}\|v\|_{S_\infty[H, E]}\|b\|_{S_{2p}(H)}\}$$

where the infimum is taken on all the decomposition $u = (a \otimes I_E)v(b \otimes I_E)$ with $a, b \in S_{2p}(H)$ and $v \in S_\infty[H, E]$.

(ii) *If $F \subset \mathfrak{B}(L)$ is another operator space and $u \in S_p[H, E] \otimes_{\min} F$, then its norms is given by*

$$\|u\| = \sup\{\|\widetilde{M}_{a,b}u\|_{S_p[H \otimes L, E]}\}$$

where the supremum is taken for all a, b in the unit ball of $S_{2p}(L)$.

As it has been described in [40] Theorem 1.1, the non-commutative vector-valued L^p spaces can be viewed as Haagerup tensor products as follows

$$S_p[H, E] = R(1 - \theta) \otimes_h E \otimes_h \overline{R(\theta)}$$

where $R(\theta) := (H_r, H_c)_\theta$ with $\theta = 1/p$. In particular we have for $p = 2$

$$S_2[H, E] = OH \otimes_h E \otimes_h \overline{OH}.$$

If the Hilbert space is kept fixed, we write $S_p[E]$ instead to $S_p[H, E]$.

We conclude with a result quite similar to that contained in [16] Proposition 3.1 which will be useful in the following. Let H be a Hilbert space of (Hilbert) dimension given by the index set I . Making the identification $H \equiv \ell^2(I)$ we get the following

Proposition 1. *An element u in $S_p[H, E] \subset \mathbb{M}_I(E)$ satisfies $\|u\|_{S_p[H, E]} < 1$ iff there exists elements $a, b \in S_{2p}(H) \subset \mathbb{M}_I(E)$ with and $v \in \mathbb{M}_I(E)$ with $\|a\|_{S_{2p}(H)} = \|b\|_{S_{2p}(H)} = 1$ and $\|v\|_{\mathbb{M}_I(E)} < 1$ such that*

$$u = avb.$$

Furthermore one can choose $v \in \mathbb{K}_I(E)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, part (i), we have to prove only the if part of the statement.

Suppose that $u \in \mathbb{M}_I(E)$ can be written as $u = avb$ as above, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Then there exists $F(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a^{F_1} - a^{F_2}\|_{S_{2p}[E]} \\ \leq \varepsilon / (3\|v\|_{\mathbb{M}_I(E)}) \\ \|b^{G_1} - b^{G_2}\|_{S_{2p}[E]} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 \supset F(\varepsilon)$ are finite subsets of I . Consider the finite subsets $F, G, \widehat{F}, \widehat{G} \subset I$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|a^F v b^G - a^{\widehat{F}} v b^{\widehat{G}}\|_{S_p[E]} &\leq \| (a^F - a^{F \wedge \widehat{F}}) v b^G \|_{S_p[E]} \\ &\quad + \| (a^{\widehat{F}} - a^{F \wedge \widehat{F}}) v b^{\widehat{G}} \|_{S_p[E]} \\ &\quad + \| a^{F \wedge \widehat{F}} v (b^{G \vee \widehat{G}} - b^{G \wedge \widehat{G}}) \|_{S_p[E]}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $F, G, \widehat{F}, \widehat{G} \supset F(\varepsilon)$, we obtain

$$\|a^F v b^G - a^{\widehat{F}} v b^{\widehat{G}}\|_{S_p[E]} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then $\{a^F v b^G\}$, $F, G \subset I$ finite subsets, is a Cauchy net in $S_p[E]$ which converges to an element of $S_p[E]$ which must coincide with u . \square

4. THE (OPERATOR) p -FACTORABLE MAPS

In this Section we introduce for $1 \leq p < +\infty$, a class $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ of linear maps between operator spaces E, F which are limits of finite rank maps so $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F) \subset \mathfrak{K}(E, F)$. These maps are obtained considering operators arising in a natural way from the Pisier non-commutative vector-valued L^p spaces $S_p[H, E]$; then we obtain the $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ considering those operators which factor via such maps. Although the case with $p = +\infty$ presents no complications, to simplify, we deal only with the cases with $1 \leq p < +\infty$ (where, as usual, the conjugate exponent of $p = 1$ is $q = +\infty$).

We start with some elementary technical results.

In the sequel we indicate with \underline{x} any element of \mathbb{C}^n , n arbitrary; if $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset E$, we denote the numerical sequence $\{\|a_1\|, \dots, \|a_n\|\}$ simply with \underline{a} .

Let $\underline{x} := (x_1, x_2)$, $\underline{y} := (y_1, y_2)$ be four positive number and $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ with $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ the conjugate exponent, we have

Lemma 1.

$$\|\underline{x}\|_p \|\underline{y}\|_q \leq C(p)(\|\underline{x}\|_2^2 + \|\underline{y}\|_2^2)$$

where $C(p)$ is a constant which is equal to $1/2$ if $p = 2$ and is greater than $1/2$ for $p \neq 2$.

Proof. The case with $p = 2$ is elementary, so we treat only the other cases. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\underline{x}\|_p \|\underline{y}\|_q &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|\underline{x}\|_p^2 + \|\underline{y}\|_q^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|\underline{x}\|_1^2 + \|\underline{y}\|_1^2) = \\ &\frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1x_2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 + 2y_1y_2) \leq \|\underline{x}\|_2^2 + \|\underline{y}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

so $C(p) \leq 1$. If $1 \leq p < 2$ we consider the following case: $x_1 = x_2 = 1$, $y_1 = y$, $y_2 = 0$. An elementary computation tell us that $C(p) > \frac{1}{2}$ if $p \neq 2$. \square

Now we consider the following situation where $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and q is the conjugate exponent of p .

Let $A_i \in \mathfrak{M}(E, S_p^*)$, $i = 1, 2$ be completely bounded maps and consider the linear map between E, S_p^* given by

$$Ax := A_1x \oplus A_2x$$

(where we have kept fixed any identification $H \equiv \ell^2 \cong H \oplus H$). At the same way, let $b_i \in S_p[E]$, $i = 1, 2$ and consider the element $b \in \mathbb{M}_\infty(E)$ given by

$$b := b_1 \oplus b_2.$$

We get the following

Lemma 2.

- (i) $A \in \mathfrak{M}(E, S_p^*)$ with $\|A\|_{cb} \leq \|\underline{A}\|_q$,
- (ii) $b \in S_p[E]$ with $\|b\|_{S_p[E]} \leq \|\underline{b}\|_p$.

Proof. The case with $p = 1$ in (i) is easy and is left to the reader. For the other cases in (i), taking into account [40] Corollary 1.3 and Theorem 1, part (ii), we compute for n integer, $u, v \in (\mathbb{M}_n)_1$ and $\|x\|_{\mathbb{M}_n(E)} < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{M}_{u,v}Ax\|_{S_q((H \oplus H) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)}^q &= \|\widetilde{M}_{u,v}A_1x\|_{S_q(H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)}^q + \|\widetilde{M}_{u,v}A_2x\|_{S_q(H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)}^q \\ &\leq \|A_1x\|_{\mathbb{M}_n(S_q(H))}^q + \|A_2x\|_{\mathbb{M}_n(S_q(H))}^q \leq \|A_1\|_{cb}^q + \|A_2\|_{cb}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum on the left, first on the unit balls of $(\mathbb{M}_n)_1$, E and then on $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain the assertion again by Theorem 1.

The proof of part (ii) follows at the same way. \square

Let any identification $\ell^2 \equiv H \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^N H$ be fixed and $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Suppose that we have a sequence $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathfrak{M}(S_p(H), E)$. We define

a linear operator $A : S_p(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N H) \rightarrow E$ as follows. Let $x \in S_p(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N H)$, first we cut the off-diagonal part of x , so can define

$$Ax := \sum_{i=1}^N A_i P_i x P_i \quad (4.1)$$

where P_j is the orthogonal projection corresponding to the j -subspace in the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N$. We have the following

Lemma 3. *The map $A : S_p(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N H) \rightarrow E$ defined as above is completely bounded and*

$$\|A\|_{cb} \leq \|\underline{A}\|_q$$

where q is the conjugate exponent of p .

Proof. It is easy to note that A is bounded as an operator between $S_p(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N H)$, E . Hence, to compute its completely bounded norm, it is enough to pass to the transpose map $A^* : E^* \rightarrow S_p(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N H)^*$ which have the same form as that in the preceding lemma. So a similar calculation shows that

$$\|A\|_{cb} \equiv \|A^*\|_{cb} \leq \|A^*\|_q \equiv \|\underline{A}\|_q$$

which is the assertion. \square

Now we are ready to define the classes of factorable maps between operator spaces.

Definition 1. Let E, F be operator spaces and $1 \leq p < +\infty$.

A linear map $T : E \rightarrow F$ will be called p -factorable if there exists a Hilbert space H and elements $b \in S_p[H, E^*]$, $A \in \mathfrak{M}(S_p(H), F)$ such that T factorizes according to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F \\ & B \searrow & \nearrow A \\ & S_p[H] & \end{array}$$

where, in the above diagram, $B = \mathcal{X}(b) \in \mathfrak{M}(E, S_p(H))$, see [40], Lemma 3.15.

We also define for a p -factorable map T

$$\varphi_p(T) := \inf \{ \|A\|_{cb} \|b\|_{S_p[H, E^*]} \}$$

where the infimum is taken on all the factorization for T as above. The class of all the p -factorable maps between E, F will be denoted as $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$.

Remark 1. As the linear map $\mathcal{X}(b)$ is norm limit of finite rank maps, hence has separable range, without loss of generality we can reduce ourselves in the above Definition to consider $H \equiv \ell^2$ and omit the dependance on H in the following if it is not otherwise specified.

Remark 2. We have $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F) \subset \mathfrak{K}(E, F)$ as $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}_I(E^*)) \subset \mathfrak{K}(E, \mathbb{K}_I)$ where, as usual, \mathcal{X} is the map defined in (2.3).

Now we show that the sets of maps $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ are indeed quasi-normed linear spaces.

Proposition 2. $(\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F), \varphi_p)$ is a quasi-normed vector space for each $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Moreover $(\mathfrak{F}_2(E, F), \varphi_2)$ is a normed vector space.

Proof. If $T = A\mathcal{X}(b) \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$, one has $\|T\| \leq \|A\|_{cb}\|b\|_{S_p[E^*]}$ and, taking the infimum on the right, one obtains $\|T\| \leq \varphi_p(T)$ so $\varphi_p(T)$ is nondegenerate. We have only to prove the (generalized) triangle inequality for φ_p . Let $T_i \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed and choose A_i, b_i such that

$$\|A_i\|_{cb} = \|b_i\|_{S_p[E^*]} = \sqrt{\varphi_p(T_i)(1 + \varepsilon)}.$$

We consider the linear map $A : S_p \rightarrow F$ defined as in (4.1) where any decomposition of $\ell^2 \equiv H \cong H \oplus H$ has been considered. We also consider under this above decomposition of H , $b := b_1 \oplus b_2$. Applying Lemma 3, Lemma 2 we obtain $\|A\|_{cb} \leq \|\underline{A}\|_q$, $\|b\|_{S_p[E^*]} = \|\underline{b}\|_p$; hence $T_1 + T_2 = A\mathcal{X}(b)$.

By Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_p(T_1 + T_2) &\leq \|A\|_{cb}\|b\|_{S_p[E^*]} \leq \\ C(p)(\|A_1\|_{cb}^2 + \|b_1\|_{S_p[E^*]}^2 + \|A_2\|_{cb}^2 + \|b_2\|_{S_p[E^*]}^2) &\leq \\ 2C(p)(1 + \varepsilon)(\varphi_p(T_1) + \varphi_p(T_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and the proof now follows as ε is arbitrary. \square

Actually $(\mathfrak{F}_2(E, F), \varphi_2)$ is a quasi-normed complete linear space of linear maps between E, F . The case with $p = 2$ gives rise to Banach spaces of maps. Following the considerations contained in Section 2, one has for $T \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ a summation

$$T = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f_i(\cdot) y_i$$

where $\{f_i\} \subset E^*$ $\{y_i\} \subset F$. It is easy to see that such a summation is unconditionally convergent in the norm topology of $\mathfrak{B}(E, F)$. Moreover, if $T \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$, then T is completely bounded, see Remark 2.

5. IDEALS BETWEEN OPERATOR SPACES

As we have already mentioned, one can point out in a natural way the properties which characterize classes of operator ideals also in the non-commutative functional analysis that is in operator spaces setting. Examples of such operator ideals have been studied in [17, 18, 19, 21, 37, 38, 40] where it has been shown that some of these spaces of maps also have a natural operator space structure themselves. In this section we start with these definitions and show that the factorable maps \mathfrak{F}_p are examples of quasi-normed (complete) operator ideals in operator spaces setting. Moreover if $p = 2$ we obtain another example of Banach operator ideal. In order to do this we follow the strategy of the celebrated monograph [35] of Pietsch.

We indicate with \mathfrak{M} the classes of all the completely bounded maps, that is $\mathfrak{M}(E, F)$ is just the space of the completely bounded maps between the operator spaces E, F .

The following definition is our startpoint.

Definition 2. A subclass $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ will be said an *operator ideal* if

- (i) $I_1 \in \mathfrak{I}$ where 1 is the 1-dimensional space,
- (ii) $\mathfrak{I}(E, F)$ is a linear space for every operator spaces E, F ,
- (iii) $\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{I}$ (ideal property).

Moreover if there exists quasi-norms φ ([28], Section 15.10) such that

- (a) $\varphi(I_1) = 1$,
- (b) $\varphi(S + T) \leq \kappa(\varphi(S) + \varphi(T))$, $\kappa \geq 1$,
- (c) If $T \in \mathfrak{M}(E_0, E)$, $S \in \mathfrak{I}(E, F)$, $R \in \mathfrak{M}(F, F_0)$ then

$$\varphi(RST) \leq \|R\|_{cb}\varphi(S)\|T\|_{cb}$$

with each $(\mathfrak{I}(E, F), \varphi)$ complete as topological vector space, we call (\mathfrak{I}, φ) a *quasi normed* or *Banach* operator ideal according with $\kappa > 1$ or $\kappa = 1$ respectively.

Now we show that the p -factorable maps $(\mathfrak{F}_p, \varphi_p)$ are quasi-normed operator ideals and in particular $(\mathfrak{F}_2, \varphi_2)$ is a Banach operator ideal. For reader's convenience we split up the proof in two propositions.

Proposition 3. *Let E_0, E, F, F_0 be operator spaces and $T : E_0 \rightarrow E$, $S : E \rightarrow F$, $R : F \rightarrow F_0$ linear maps. If $T \in \mathfrak{M}(E_0, E)$, $S \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$, $R \in \mathfrak{M}(F, F_0)$ then $RST \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E_0, F_0)$ and*

$$\varphi_p(RST) \leq \|R\|_{cb} \varphi_p(S) \|T\|_{cb}.$$

Proof. If $S \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, by Proposition 1, there exists $a, b \in (S_{2p})_1$, $f \in \mathbb{M}_\infty(E^*)$, $A \in \mathfrak{M}(S_p, F)_1$ such that $\|f\|_{cb} \leq \varphi_p(S) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\|R\|_{cb} \|T\|_{cb}}$ and $S = A\mathcal{X}(af(\cdot)b)$ where \mathcal{X} is the map given in (2.3). Now

$$RSTx = RAa(f(Tx)b)$$

where $f \circ T \in \mathbb{M}_\infty((E_0)^*)$ and $\|f \circ T\|_{cb} \leq \|f\|_{cb} \|T\|_{cb}$. Then we obtain $RST \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E_0, F_0)$ and

$$\varphi_p(RST) \leq \|R\|_{cb} \|f \circ T\|_{cb} \leq \|R\|_{cb} \|f\|_{cb} \|T\|_{cb} \leq \|R\|_{cb} \varphi_p(S) \|T\|_{cb} + \varepsilon$$

and the proof follows. \square

Proposition 4. *$(\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F), \varphi_p)$ is a complete quasi-normed space.*

Proof. We have already proved in Proposition 2 that $(\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F), \varphi_p)$ is a quasi-normed linear space for each p . According with [35], Section 6.2 it is enough to show that an absolutely summable sequence $\{T_i\} \subset (\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F), \varphi_p)$ is summable in $(\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F), \varphi_p)$. We compute $r := \frac{1}{2 + \log_2 C(p)} \leq 1$ as $C(p) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $\{T_i\}$ be an absolutely summable sequence (i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \varphi_p(T_i)^r < +\infty$) where $T_i = A_i \mathcal{X}(b_i)$ for sequences $\{A_i\} \subset \mathfrak{M}(S_p, F)$, $\{b_i\} \subset S_p[E^*]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_i\|_{cb} &\leq \left(\varphi_p(T_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ \|b_i\|_{S_2[E^*]} &\leq \left(\varphi_p(T_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and $H \cong \ell^2$ as usual; moreover, since $r \leq 1$, there is a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \varphi_p(T_i) \leq K \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \varphi_p(T_i)^r < +\infty.$$

We fix in the following any identification $H \equiv \ell^2 \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{+\infty} H$. We define

$$b := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{+\infty} b_i \in \mathbb{M}_\infty(E^*).$$

It easy to show that $b \in S_p \left[\bigoplus_{i=1}^{+\infty} H, E^* \right]$ as norm limit of the sequence

$$\sigma_N := b_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus b_N \oplus 0 \oplus \dots$$

thanks to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_N\|_{S_p[\oplus_{i=1}^{+\infty} H, E^*]}^p &= \sum_{i=1}^N \|b_i\|_{S_p[H]}^p \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_p(T_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq K \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \varphi_p(T_i)^r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

see [40], Corollary 1.3.

Moreover we can define, as in the preceding Section, linear maps $A_N : S_p(\oplus_{i=1}^N H) \rightarrow F$ as in (4.1), which are completely bounded and satisfy, by Lemma 3

$$\|A_N\|_{cb}^q \leq \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \|A_i\|_{cb}^q \leq \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \varphi_p(T_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq K \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \varphi_p(T_i)^r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By these consideration one can easily shows that the direct limit $\varinjlim A_N$ defines a bounded map on $\bigcup_N S_p(\oplus_{i=1}^N H)$ which uniquely extends to a completely bounded map $A \in \mathfrak{M}(S_p(\oplus_{i=1}^{+\infty} H), F)$ as $\bigcup_N S_p(\oplus_{i=1}^N H)$ is dense in $S_p(\oplus_{i=1}^{+\infty} H)$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} b &\in S_p[\oplus_{i=1}^{+\infty} H, E^*] \cong S_p[H, E^*], \\ A &\in \mathfrak{M}(S_p(\oplus_{i=1}^{+\infty} H), F) \cong \mathfrak{M}(S_p(H), F). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if one defines $T := A\mathcal{X}(b)$, then $T \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ and

$$\varphi_p(T - T_N) \leq \left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{+\infty} \varphi_p(T_i)^r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{+\infty} \varphi_p(T_i)^r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \varepsilon$$

if N is big enough, that is T is summable in $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$. Moreover, by [35], 6.1.9, 6.2.4, one also gets

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_p(T)^r &\leq \liminf_N \varphi_p(T_N)^r \\ &\leq 2 \liminf_N \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_p(T_N)^r \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \varphi_p(T_N)^r < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Summarizing we have the following

Theorem 2. $(\mathfrak{F}_p, \varphi_p)$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$ are quasi-normed operator ideals. Moreover $(\mathfrak{F}_2, \varphi_2)$ is a Banach operator ideal.

Proof. The proof of the first part immediately follows collecting the results contained in the preceding Section and in the last two Propositions. The case with $p = 2$ follows by observing that $C(2) = 1/2$ so $\kappa = 1$ that is φ_2 is a norm and $(\mathfrak{F}_2(E, F), \varphi_2)$ is complete. \square

Remark 3. On each of $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ there is a r -norm A_r equivalent to φ_p given by

$$A_r(S) := \inf \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_p(S_i)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\}$$

where the infimum is taken on all the decomposition $S = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i$ with $S_i \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$, see [35], 6.2.5.

6. A GEOMETRICAL DESCRIPTION

Analogously to the metrically nuclear operators, see [21], we give a suitable geometrical description for the range of a p -factorable injective map.

We start with an absolutely convex set Q in an operator space E and we indicate with V its algebraic span. Consider a sequence $\mathcal{Q} \equiv \{Q_n\}$ of sets such that

- (i) $Q_1 \equiv Q$ and every Q_n is an absolutely convex absorbing set of $\mathbb{M}_n(V)$ with $Q_n \subset \mathbb{M}_n(Q)$;
- (ii) $Q_{m+n} \cap (\mathbb{M}_m(V) \oplus \mathbb{M}_n(V)) = Q_m \oplus Q_n$;
- (iii) for $x \in Q_n$ then $x \in \lambda Q_n$ implies $bx \in \lambda Q_n, xb \in \lambda Q_n$ where $b \in (\mathbb{M}_n)_1$.

We say that a (possibly) infinite matrix f with entries in the algebraic dual V' of V has *finite \mathcal{Q} -norm* if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \equiv \sup \{ \|f^{\Delta}(q)\| : q \in Q_n; n \in \mathbb{N}; \Delta \} < +\infty$$

where f^{Δ} indicates an arbitrary finite truncation corresponding to the finite set Δ ; the enumeration of the entries of the numerical matrix $f^{\Delta}(q)$ is as that in (2.2).

Definition 3. An absolutely convex set $Q \subset E$ is said to be (p, \mathcal{Q}) -factorable, $1 \leq p < +\infty$ (where \mathcal{Q} is a fixed sequence as above) if there

exists matrices $\alpha, \beta \in S_{2p}$ and a (possible infinite) matrix f of linear functionals as above with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}} < +\infty$ such that, if $x \in Q_n$, one has

$$\|x\|_{\mathbb{M}_n(E)} \leq C \|\alpha f(x)\beta\|_{\mathbb{M}_n(S_p)} \quad (6.1)$$

In the case corresponding to $p = 2$ we call a $(2, \mathcal{Q})$ -factorable set simply \mathcal{Q} -factorable and omit the dependence on \mathcal{Q} if it causes no confusion.

One can easily see that a (p, \mathcal{Q}) -factorable set is relatively compact, hence bounded in the norm topology of E and therefore V , together the Minkowski norms determinated by the Q_n 's on $\mathbb{M}_n(V)$, is a (not necessarily complete) operator space. As in the metrically nuclear case described in [21], Section 2, and the case of completely summing maps described in [40] Remark 3.7, one can reinterpret the above definition as a factorization condition.

Proposition 5. *Let $E \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a (concrete) operator space, $Q \subset E$ a (p, \mathcal{Q}) -factorable absolutely convex set and V its algebraic span. Then the canonical immersion $V \xrightarrow{i} E$ is a p -factorable map when V is equipped with the operator space structure determined by the sequence \mathcal{Q}*

- (i) *if there exists a completely bounded projection $P : \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow E$ when $p \neq 2$;*
- (ii) *without any other condition on E if $p = 2$.*

Proof. According to the above Definition, there exists matrices $\alpha, \beta \in S_{2p}$ and a matrix f of linear functionals with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}} < +\infty$ satisfying the property described above. We define $b := \alpha f \beta$ so $b \in S_2[V^*]$. Moreover we can consider $W := \overline{\alpha f(V) \beta}^{S_p}$ and define on W a linear map $A : W \rightarrow E$ in the following way $Ax := v$ if $x = \alpha f(v)\beta$. This map extends firstly to all of W and successively to a completely bounded map between S_p and $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by the celebrated Arveson-Wittstock-Hahn-Banach Theorem, [46] (see also [47, 32]). Then we obtain $i = PA\mathcal{X}(b)$ which is p -factorable as PA is a completely bounded map between S_2 and E , see (6.1). In the case with $p = 2$ we can extend A to all of S_2 if one define $Ax := 0$ on W^\perp . Being $S_2 \cong OH$ a homogeneous Hilbertian operator space, see [39], Proposition 1.5, A is completely bounded and the proof is now complete. \square

We now consider an injective completely bounded operator $T : E \rightarrow F$ and the sequence \mathcal{Q}_T given by

$$\mathcal{Q}_T = \{T_n(\mathbb{M}_n(E)_1)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

for such sequences the properties (i)–(iii) in the beginning are automatically satisfied and if $T(E_1)$ is (p, \mathcal{Q}_T) -factorable we call it simply

(p, T) -factorable and indicate the \mathcal{Q}_T -norm of a matrix of functional f by $\|f\|_T$.

As it happens in some interesting well-known cases (compact operators, nuclear and metrically nuclear maps), also for the class of p -factorable maps we have a description in terms of geometrical properties (i.e. the shape) of the range of such maps.

Proposition 6. *Let E, F be operator spaces with $F \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $T : E \rightarrow F$ a completely bounded injective operator. Then $T \in \mathfrak{F}_p(E, F)$ iff $T(E_1)$ is a (p, T) -factorable set in F*

- (i) *if there exists a completely bounded projection $P : \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow F$ when $p \neq 2$;*
- (ii) *without any other condition on F if $p = 2$.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that, if $T \in \mathfrak{F}_2(E, F)$ is injective, one can write for T a decomposition

$$T = A\mathcal{X}(\alpha f(\cdot)\beta).$$

Then $\alpha, f \circ T^{-1}, \beta$, allow us to say that $T(E_1)$ is (p, T) -factorable with $\|A\|_{cb} \leq C$, C is the constant appearing in (6.1). Conversely, if $T(E_1)$ is a (p, T) -factorable set in F , with α, β, f as in the Definition 3, then we can consider the matrix $f \circ T \in \mathbb{M}_\infty(E^*)$ and define $A := S_p \rightarrow F$ as in the proof of the above proposition; so we obtain for T the factorization $T = A\mathcal{X}(b)$ where $b := \alpha(f \circ T)\beta \in S_p[E^*]$. \square

As in the case relative to metrically nuclear maps ([21]), the definition of a factorable set may appear rather involved; this is due to the fact that the inclusion $\mathbb{M}_n(V)_1 \subset \mathbb{M}_n(V_1)$ is strict in general; but, for an injective completely bounded operator T as above, the T -factorable set $T(V_1)$ is intrinsically defined in terms of T .

Remark 4. An interesting example in the cases with $p \neq 2$ for which the above Propositions is applicable is when the image space is an injective C^* -algebra.

A characterization of the completely p -summing maps in terms of a factorization condition is given in [40], Remark 3.7 and involve ultrapowers ([25]). As ultrapowers of W^* -algebras of the same type seems to produce W^* -algebras of other type in general (see [9], Section II for same kind of similar questions, or [35], 19.3.4 for the commutative case) one can argue that in general $\mathfrak{F}_p(E, F) \subsetneq \Pi_p(E, F)$, the completely summing maps considered by Pisier in [40]. It would be of interest to understand if (and when) the above inclusion is in fact an

equality. Other cases which could involve ultrapowers are the definition of factorable maps in operator space setting in a similar manner as that considered in [30]. Such a kind of factorable maps might be the true quantized counterpart of the factorable maps of Banach spaces case, see [30, 34, 35] (see also [19] for some related questions relative to the quantized case). Following [35, 30], one could consider those maps which factors according to the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & i \circ T & \\
 E \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & & F^{**} \\
 & B \searrow & \nearrow A \\
 & L^p(M) &
 \end{array}$$

Here i is the canonical completely isometric immersion of F in F^{**} ([2]) and M is a W^* -algebra whose non-commutative measure spaces ([29]) should be equipped with suitable operator space structures which are not yet understood in the full generality, see [40]. The maps A, B in the above commutative diagram should be completely bounded.

A complete analysis of the above framework and specially the study of quantized counterparts of the results of Banach space theory will be desirable. We hope to return about some of these problems somewhere else.

7. THE SPLIT PROPERTY FOR INCLUSIONS OF W^* -ALGEBRAS. AN APPLICATION TO QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

In this section we outline a characterization of the split property for inclusions of W^* -algebras by the 2-factorable maps. The complete exposition of this result is contained in the separated paper [22] to which we remand the reader for further details.

We suppose that all the W^* -algebras considered here have separable predual. For the standard results about the theory of W^* -algebras see e.g. [42, 44].

An inclusion $N \subset M$ of W^* -algebras is said to be *split* if there exists a type I interpolating W^* -factor F that is $N \subset F \subset M$. The split property has been intensively studied ([5, 11, 12]) in the last years for

the natural applications to Quantum Field Theory ([6, 7, 8]). In [5], canonical non-commutative embeddings $\Phi_i : M \rightarrow L^i(M)$, $i = 1, 2$ are considered; they are constructed via a standard vector $\Omega \in L^2(M)$ for M in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1 : a \in M &\rightarrow (\cdot\Omega, Ja\Omega) \in L^1(M) \\ \Phi_2 : a \in M &\rightarrow \Delta^{1/4}a\Omega \in L^2(M).\end{aligned}\tag{7.1}$$

The W^* -algebra M is supposed to act standarly on the Hilbert space $L^2(M)$ and, in the above formulas, Δ , J are the Tomita's operators relative to the standard vector Ω . In this way the split property is analyzed considering the nuclear properties of the restrictions of Φ_i , $i = 1, 2$ to the subalgebra N . The nuclear property and its connection with the split property has an interesting physical meaning in Quantum Field Theory, see [6, 7, 8]. Following this approach, in [21] the split property has been exactly characterized in terms of the L^1 embedding Φ_1 constructed by a fixed standard vector for M . The characterization of the split property in terms of the other L^2 embedding Φ_2 is established in [22]. In this section we only schetch the proof of this characterization. which we report together with the results relative to the L^1 embedding Φ_1 for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 3. *Let $N \subset M$ be an inclusion of W^* -factors with separable preduals and $\omega \in M_*$ a faithful state. Let $\Phi_i : M \rightarrow L^i(M)$, $i = 1, 2$ be the embeddings associated to the state ω and given in (7.1).*

The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $N \subset M$ is a split inclusion.
- (ii) $\Phi_{1|N} \in \mathfrak{D}(N, (L^1(M)))$.
- (ii') The set $\{(\cdot\Omega, Ja\Omega) : a \in A, \|a\| < 1\}$ is Φ_1 -decomposable (see [21], Definition 2.6 for this definition).
- (iii) $\Phi_{2|N} \in \mathfrak{F}_2(N, (L^2(M)))$.
- (iii') The set $\{\Delta^{1/4}a\Omega : a \in A, \|a\| < 1\}$ is Φ_2 -factorable.

In the above theorem we are supposing that $L^i(M)$, $i = 1, 2$ are endowed with the operator space structures:

- (a) as the predual of M° , the opposite algebra of M , for the former,
- (b) the Pisier OH structure for the latter.

Proof. Some of the above equivalences are immediate (see Proposition 6) or are contained in [21] so we only deal with the remaining ones.

- (i) \Rightarrow (iii) If there exists a type I interpolating factor F then Φ_2 factors according to

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \Phi_2 & \\
N & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L^2(M) \\
& \Psi_2 \searrow & \nearrow \Psi_1 \\
& L^2(F) &
\end{array}$$

where Ψ_2 arises from $S_2[N_*]$ and Ψ_1 is bounded, see [5]. Moreover Ψ_1 is automatically completely bounded, see [39], Proposition 1.5.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) It is enough to show that, if $N \subset F$ with F a type I factor with separable predual, then Φ_1 extends to a completely positive map $\tilde{\Phi}_1 : F \rightarrow L^1(M^\circ)$, see [5], Proposition 1.1.

Suppose that $\Phi_2 = A\mathcal{X}(b)$ with A completely bounded and $b \in S_2[N^*]$. As Φ_2 is normal, we can choose $b \in S_2[N_*]$ ([22], Lemma 1). Hence, as $b = \alpha f \beta$ with $f \in \mathbb{M}_\infty(N_*)$, we have via (2.3) a completely bounded normal map $\rho : N \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\infty \equiv F_\infty$ that is the (unique) type I_∞ factor with separable predual. So, if $N \subset F$, then ρ extends to a completely bounded normal map $\tilde{\rho} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\infty$ ([22], Proposition 7). Computing $\tilde{f} := \mathcal{X}^{-1}(\tilde{\rho})$ we get a completely bounded normal extension $\tilde{\Phi} : F \rightarrow L^2(M^\circ)$. Unfortunately $\tilde{\Phi}$ might be not positive. We consider the binormal bilinear form $\varphi : F \otimes M^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\varphi(x \otimes y) := (\tilde{\Phi}(x), \Delta^{1/4} y^* \Omega).$$

This form uniquely define a bounded binormal form on all the C^* -algebra $F \otimes_{max} M^\circ$ ([22], Proposition 8) which can be decomposed in four binormal positive functionals ([44]). We take the positive part φ_+ and note that φ_+ , when restricted to $N \otimes M^\circ$ dominates ω given by

$$\omega(x \otimes y) := (x \Omega, Jy \Omega).$$

If we consider the *GNS* construction for φ_+ , we obtain two normal commuting representations π, π° of F, M° respectively, on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ (cyclic for $\pi(F) \vee \pi^\circ(M^\circ)$) such that

$$\varphi_+(x \otimes y) = (\pi(x)\pi^\circ(y)\xi, \xi)$$

that is actually a $F - M$ correspondence ([10]). Then, as the restriction of φ_+ dominates ω , there exists a positive element $T \in \pi(N)' \wedge \pi^\circ(M^\circ)'$ such that, for $x \in N, y \in M^\circ$ one gets

$$\omega(x \otimes y) = (\pi(x)\pi^\circ(y)T\xi, T\xi).$$

Now we define $\tilde{\Phi}_1 : F \rightarrow L^1(M^\circ)$ given by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1(f) := (T\pi(f)T\pi^\circ(\cdot)\xi, \xi)$$

which is a completely positive normal map which extends Φ_1 . \square

It is still unclear to the author if the split property can be characterized via the 2-factorable maps also for the general case of inclusions of W^* -algebras with non-trivial centers. However in some interesting cases such as those arising from Quantum Field Theory we turn out to have the same characterization. We suppose that the net $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})$ of von Neumann algebras of local observables of a quantum theory acts on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of the vacuum representation and satisfy all the usual assumptions (a priori without the split property) which are typical in Quantum Field Theory; $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ will be the vacuum vector which is cyclic and separating for the net $\{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})\}$, see e.g. [43].

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \text{int}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}})$ be double cones in the physical space-time and $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathfrak{A}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}})$ the corresponding inclusion of von Neumann algebras of local observables. The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathfrak{A}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}})$ is a split inclusion.
- (ii) The set $\{(\cdot a\Omega, \Omega) : a \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})_1\} \subset (\mathfrak{A}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}))_*$ is Φ_1 -decomposable.
- (iii) The set $\{\Delta^{1/4}a\Omega : a \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})_1\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is Φ_2 -factorable.

Proof. If $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathfrak{A}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}})$ is a split inclusion then (ii) and (iii) are true, see [5, 21, 22]; conversely, if (ii) or (iii) are satisfied then Φ_1 is extendible, see [21] or the (iii) \Rightarrow (i) part of Theorem 3 which is also available in this case ([22], Proposition 7). Hence the map

$$\eta : a \otimes b \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathfrak{A}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}})' \rightarrow ab \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) \vee \mathfrak{A}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}})'$$

extends to a normal homomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}})$ onto $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) \vee \mathfrak{A}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}})$. But this homomorphism is in fact an isomorphism by an argument exposed in [4], pagg. 129-130. Moreover, as $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) \wedge \mathfrak{A}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}})$ is properly infinite ([27]), the assertion now follows by Corollary 1 of [11]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Berg, J., Lofstrom, J., *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Springer (1976).
- [2] Blecher, D.,P., *The standard dual of an operator space*, Pacific J. Math. **153** (1992), 15–30.
- [3] Blecher, D.,P., Paulsen, V., I., *Tensor product of operator spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **99** (1991), 262–292.

- [4] Buchholz, D., D'Antoni, C., Fredenhagen, K., *The universal structures of local algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **111** (1987), 123–135.
- [5] Buchholz, D., D'Antoni, C., Longo, R., *Nuclear maps and modular structures I*, J. Funct. Anal. **88** (1990), 233–250.
- [6] Buchholz, D., D'Antoni, C., Longo, R., *Nuclear maps and modular structures II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **129** (1990), 115–138.
- [7] Buchholz, D., Doplicher, S., Longo, R., *it On Noether's theorem in quantum field theory*, Ann. Phys. **170** (1986), 1–17.
- [8] Buchholz, D., Junglas, P., *On the existence of equilibrium states in local quantum field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **121** (1989), 255–270.
- [9] Connes, A., *Almost periodic states of factors of type III_1* , J. Funct. Anal. **16** (1974), 415–445.
- [10] Connes, A., Jones, V., F., R., *Property T for von Neumann algebras* Bull. London Math. Soc. **17** (1985), 57–62.
- [11] D'Antoni, C., Longo, R., *Interpolation by type I factors and the flip automorphism*, J. Funct. Anal. **51** (1983), 361–371.
- [12] Doplicher, S., Longo, R., *Standard and split inclusion of von Neumann algebras*, Invent. Math. **75** (1984), 493–536.
- [13] Effros, E., in *Proceedings on Aegean Conference*. To appear.
- [14] Effros, E., *Advanced in quantum functional analysis* in Proc. ICM (1986), 906–916.
- [15] Effros, E., Ruan, Z.-J., *A new approach to operator spaces*, Can. Math. Bull. **34** (1991), 329–337.
- [16] Effros, E., Ruan, Z.-J., *On approximation properties for operator spaces*, International J. Math. **1** (1990), 163–187.
- [17] Effros, E., Ruan, Z.-J., *Self-duality for the Haagerup tensor product and Hilbert space factorizations*, J. Funct. Anal. **100** (1991), 257–284.
- [18] Effros, E., Ruan, Z.-J., *Mapping spaces and liftings of operator spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **69** (1994), 171–197.
- [19] Effros, E., Ruan, Z.-J., *The Grothendieck-Pietsch and Dvoretzky-Rogers theorems for operator spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **122** (1991), 428–450.
- [20] Effros, E., Ruan, Z.-J., *On the abstract characterization of operator spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), 579–584.
- [21] Fidaleo, F., *Operator space structures and the split property*, J. Operator Theory **31** (1994), 207–218.
- [22] Fidaleo, F., *Operator space structures and the split property II*. Preprint (1997).
- [23] Grothendieck, A., *Produits tensoriels topologique et espaces nucleaires*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1955).
- [24] Grothendieck, A., *Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologique*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Sao Paulo **8** (1956), 1–79.
- [25] Heinrich, S., *Ultraproducts in Banach space theory*, J. reine Angew. Math. **313** (1980) 72–104.
- [26] Jarchow, H., *Locally convex spaces*, Teubner (1981).
- [27] Kadison, R., V., *Remarks on the type of von Neumann algebras of local observables in quantum field theory*, J. Math. Phys. **4** (1963), 1511–1516.
- [28] Kothe, G., *Topological vector spaces Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics*, **159** (1983), Springer.
- [29] Kosaki, H., *Application of the complex interpolation method to a von Neumann algebra: non-commutative L^p spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **56** (1984), 29–78.

- [30] Kwapień, S., *On operators factorizable through L^p spaces*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mémoire **31-32** (1972), 215–225.
- [31] Paulsen, V., I., *Completely bounded maps and dilations*, Longman Scientific & Technical (1986).
- [32] Paulsen, V., I., Smith, R., R., *Multilinear maps and tensor norms on operator systems*, J. Funct. Anal. **73** (1987), 258–276.
- [33] Paulsen, V., I., *Representation of function algebras, abstract operator spaces and Banach space geometry*, J. Funct. Anal. **109** (1992), 113–129.
- [34] Pietsch, A., *Nuclear locally convex spaces*, Springer (1972).
- [35] Pietsch, A., *Operator ideals*, Springer (1972).
- [36] Pisier, G., *Espace de Hilbert d'opérateurs et interpolation complexe*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **316-I** (1993), 47–52.
- [37] Pisier, G., *Sur les opérateurs factorizable par OH*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **316-I** (1993), 165–170.
- [38] Pisier, G., *Espace L^p non commutatifs à valeurs vectorielles et applications complètement p -sommantes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **316-I** (1993), 1055–1060.
- [39] Pisier, G., *The operator Hilbert space OH, complex interpolation and tensor norms*, Memoirs Amer. Math. soc. **122** (1996).
- [40] Pisier, G., *Non-commutative vector valued L^p spaces and completely p -summing maps I*. Preprint (1994).
- [41] Ruan, Z.-J., *Subspaces of C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **76** (1988), 217–230.
- [42] Stratila, S., Zsido, L., *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*, Abacus press (1979).
- [43] Summers, S., J., *On the independence of local algebras in Quantum Field Theory*, Rev. Math. Phys. **2** (1990), 201–47.
- [44] Takesaki, M., *Theory of operator algebras I*, Springer (1979).
- [45] Weaver, N., *Operator spaces and noncommutative metrics*. Preprint (1996).
- [46] Wittstock, G., *Ein operatorwertinger Hahn-Banach Satz*, J. Funct. Anal. **40** (1981), 127–150.
- [47] Wittstock, G., *Extension of completely bounded C^* -module homomorphisms* in Proc. Conference on Operator Algebras and Group Representations, Neptun 1980, Pitnam 1983.

FRANCESCO FIDALEO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, II UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA (TOR VERGATA), VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA, 00133 ROMA, ITALY
E-mail address: Fidaleo@mat.utovrm.it